



w=Lwi mens tx wx EL iff wx EL. Suppose this. Then Yx XELWA & WAXEL <>> ax €Lw ⇒axelwi (by assumption ⇒ × € Lw/a i. Lwa = Lwa . 5 is well-defined. Remains to show that L(D)= L. I.e., tw WEL(D) WEL Induction on WI. Base case: W= & Use M-N thm to show that a language is not reg. 2={0,1} L:={0^ i ; n≥0} Prop: Lis not regular Proof; Notice: Un=0 are pairwise distinct, so CL is infinite ". L 's not regular by M-H-than Pumping Lemma (for regular languages): Let L = 21\* be a regular language. There exists p>0 ("a pumping length") such that, for all WEL with INI > P, there exist strings x, y, 7.88 such that 1) w =xyz 2) |xy| sp 3) |y|>0 (1.1. y‡E) and, for all i \ge 0 xy'z EL (pumping on y") Proof: assume Proof: L'negalan, Let D be a DFA recog. L. Let p be the number of States of D. Given a string WEL such that |w| > p, consider the comp path

