

```
is well defined:
Lw=Lw, ⇒Lwa=Lwa
 XELWA NAXEL

⇒ ax ∈ Lw

        \Leftrightarrow ax \in L_{W}, (by assumption)
\Leftrightarrow w'ax \in L

⇒ × ∈ Lw'a

: Lwa = Lwa . //
Left out: L(M)=L
 also uniquenoss of the min DEA
Ex: 2={0,1}
L = {0 n n : n ≥0}
Prop: L is not regular.
Proof: LE contains &
       Lo contains 1
Loo " 11
Loo " 11
        Lon contains 1<sup>n</sup>
but not 1<sup>k</sup> for
any k≠n
Lon #Lon if m#n

[ Lon in 20] is infinite

[ C L not my

by morphism

by mouthin
Pumping Lemma (for regular larguage
Let L be any regular
language, Then
 Fp>0 (the pumping length)

VS EL with ISI≥p
    ∃xy,z, such that

1) s = xyz

2) |xy| ≤ p

3) |y|>0 (i*,y≠E)
     Vi≥O,
×yiz €L.
      Xyy...yz (pumpiny on y)
 - is pumpable
 L is not pumpable means
    ∀p>0
        BSEL, ISI≥P,
          ∀x,y,z such xhar
S=xyz
lxyl≤p
1y1>0,
        ∃i≥0, xy'z $L
Ex: L= {O' | " x > 0}

Show L is not pumpable:

Given p>0,

let s := O' | |

[clearly Sel and

| | | | | | | |
  Given x,y,2 such that 
S=Xy2, |xy| $p, |y|>0,
[so y=0k for some k>0]
 Let i:=0.
 Then xy^iz = xz
= 0^{p-k} \cdot 1^p \notin L \left( \frac{p-k+p}{p} \right)
: L not pumpable
: L not regular (by Pumping Lamma)
Proof Sketch of gamping lama
Let L be regular, recognized
by some DFA A.
  Let p := # of status of A
```

